

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/303,561	05/03/1999	TAKUYA MORISHITA	088941-0129	8535	
. 75	590 08/08/2003				
FOLEY & LARDNER WASHINGTON HARBOUR 3000 K STREET NW STE 500 PO BOX 25696			EXAMINER		
			BAUM, RONALD		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 200078696		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2131		
		•	DATE MAILED: 08/08/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•		4		\mathcal{M}	
.		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/303,561	MORISHITA, TAKI	MORISHITA, TAKUYA	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Ronald Baum	2131		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	dress	
	IT REPLY ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL'	VIC SET TO EVDIDE 2 M	ONTH(S) EDOM		
THE I - External exte	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. sistems of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a re y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON , cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely THS from the mailing date of this co ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19.	lune 2003 .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	is action is non-final.			
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under			e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims				
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.			
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ acce	oted or b) objected to by the	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[The proposed drawing correction filed on	_ is: a)□ approved b)□ d	isapproved by the Examine	er.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	ply to this Office action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		•	
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No		
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage	
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	·		application).	
) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro	•		•	
15) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachmen 	t(s)				
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of I	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(nformal Patent Application (PT0		
S. Patent and T TO-326 (Re	rademark Office v. 04-01) Office Ac	tion Summary	Part of Paper No. 9		

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is in reply to applicant's correspondence of 19 June 2003.
- 2. Claims 1-9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1- 9 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over McDonnal et al, U.S. Patent 5,699,428, and further in view of examiners assertion.

As per amended claims 1-3, the claims are amended to incorporate claim language corrections and clarifications so as to expand upon the sequence for the protocol to update the cryptosystem key.

As per claims 4-9, these claims remain rejected as per the original office action in view of the above claims 1-3 rejection.

Response to Amendment

4. As per applicant's argument concerning the McDonnal's method of decryption versus the claim of cryptosystem *key updating* to effect proper decryption, the examiner has fully considered the arguments and finds them not to be persuasive. The claim language recites in the

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/303,561

Art Unit: 2131

preamble "a system for preventing *illegal use* of software, comprising:", which is clearly not "cryptosystem *key updating*" per se.

Further, the applicant's argument concerning the revised claim language delineating the difference between the McDonnal sequence of decryption key processing and the applicants revised claim language is likewise not persuasive. Therefore, the examiner asserts that the original office action rejection concerning the McDonnal's method of decryption (claim 1 rejection) is still valid.

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 09/303,561

Art Unit: 2131

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from examiner should be directed to Ronald Baum, whose telephone number is (703) 305-4276. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 8:00 AM to 5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ayaz Sheikh, can be reached at (703) 305-9648. The Fax numbers for the organization where this application is assigned are:

After-final

(703) 746-7238

Official

(703) 746-7239

Non-Official/Draft

(703) 746-7246

AYAZ SHEIKH

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Ronald Baum

Patent Examiner